

Negotiating with the Dacians. The Case of *M. Ulpus Celerinus, interpres Dacorum**

Dan-Augustin Deac¹

Abstract: *This paper aims to reevaluate the case of Marcus Ulpus Celerinus who served as a salararius in the first Adiutrix legion stationed in the Roman settlement of Brigetio, in the province of Pannonia Inferior (modern day Szöny, district of Komarom, Hungary). Besides being a salararius (a term which we will thoroughly discuss in the light of the new researches that were conducted), Marcus Ulpus Celerinus was also an inperprex of the Dacian tribes. With the help of epigraphy we will reexamine the monuments from which we posses bits of information regarding Celerinus and finally conclude the fact that he was not in the service of the Roman army as an interpres in the time of Caracalla when war broke out, but rather in the time of Septimius Severus` reign, being part of a mechanism involved in negotiating with the Dacian tribes on matters that elude us for the time being.*

Keywords: *Roman Army, Brigetio, interpres, salararius, Pannonia, Dacians.*

In 1943 on the southern part of the legionary camp of the legio I *Adiutrix* in *Brigetio*, in the province of *Pannonia Inferior* (modern day Szöny, district of Komarom, Hungary) a beautifully decorated sarcophagus of limestone was discovered² which has triggered the attention of scholars ever since³. The inscription gives us the names of the deceased person, *Marcus Ulpus Romanus*, and his father *Marcus Ulpus Celerinus*, responsible for realizing a proper resting place. The text (letters from 3.5 to 2.4 cm; Fig. 1) of the inscription is as follows:

* This work was possible with the financial support of the Sectoral Operational Programme for Human Resources Development 2007–2013, co-financed by the European Social Fund, under the project number POSDRU/107/1.5/S/77946 with the title „Doctorate: an Attractive Research Career”.

¹ „Babeş-Bolyai” University Cluj-Napoca, 400084, Cluj-Napoca, Cluj county, RO; e-mail: dan_deac1923@yahoo.com.

² The lid has a roof form with *acroteria* on the corners (while themselves having depictions of masks) and in the middle it depicts a medallion with the busts of two men. It also has a coffin on which an inscription is found in the central area, while the edges of the frame of it are decorated by beautiful volutes in the shape of the so-called Baroque-motifs. The next register has decorations of jugs from which acanthus leafs grow, having the shape of chandeliers very nice manufactured. In the registers on the extremities, right and left, there are depictions of naked winged *Genii* in enclosed niches. Dimensions are: 74 × 210 × 96 cm. (sarcophagus and 42 × 219 × 105 cm (coffin). It is now housed by National History Museum in Budapest: inv. no. 62.351.1.

³ BARKÓCZI 1944–1945, 178–192; RUSSU 1946–1947, 403–412; Année Épigr. 1947, 35; Année Épigr. 1951, 103; BARKÓCZI 1951, no. 91; ERDÉLYI 1974, 67f., 194 Nr. 71 Abb. 71; MÓCSY 1974, tab. 29c; IPD⁴ 841; RIU 2, 590. Also recently: NAGY 1976, 79–91; RUSSU 1980, 44; KOCH/SICHTERMANN 1982, 324, Fig. 353; KRANZ 1984, 165; IDRE ii, 273; PERETZ 2006, 451–470; SPEIDEL 1987, 196 and SPEIDEL 1992, 76; AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995, 28–29; NÉMETH 1996, Taf. 22/1; POCHMARSKI 2001, 205, no. 2 (with the dating of the sarcophagus itself on which the new inscription is inscribed POCHMARSKI 1998, 194, no. 1); NAGY M. 2007, 87, no. 91; MÉA 2012, 207–213; MAIRS 2012, 17–28).

M(arco) Ulp(io) q(uondam) Romano mil(iti) / praet(oriano) et primoscr(ipt)io praef(ectorum praetorio) / qui vixit annos XXXV / M(arcus) Ulp(ius) Celerinus sal(ariarius) / leg(ionis) (primae) ad(iutricis) / p(iae) f(idelis) interpres (sic!) / Dacorum vivus sibi / et filio suo s(upra) s(cripto) carissimo / f(aciendum) c(uravit)

Celerinus was already known at the time of the discovery from a limestone altar, also found in *Brigetio* (height 97 cm. – thickness 35 cm. letters 7–2.2 cm; Fig. 2)⁴:

I(ovi) O(ptimo) M(aximo) / Ulp(ius) Celer(inus) salari(arius) leg(ionis) (primae) adi(utricis) / p(iae) f(idelis) Antonini(anae)



M V L P Q R O M A N O M I L
 P R A E T R I M O S C R
 3 I N I O P R A E F
 F Q V I V I
 X I T A N XXXV
 6 M V E C E L E
 R I N V S S A L
 L E G T A D
 9 P F I N T E R P R E X
 D A C O R V M V I V S S I B I
 E T F I L I O S V O S S C A R I S S I M O
 12 F A C

Fig. 1. The sarcophagus of *Marcus Ulpius Romanus* from *Brigetio/Szöny*, Hungary (after VISY 2008, 41 and RIU 2, 590). Date: 193-211 AD.

⁴ It was firstly published in CIL III 10988 followed by BARKÓCZI 1951, no. 139; IPD⁴ 842; NOLL 1962, 378; RIU II 422 (Abb. XCVIII), FITZ 1983, 54, no. 166; IDRE ii, 272.

Based on the epigraphic material presented, the purpose of this study will be to gain insight on the roles and careers of these men and the period of time during which they exerted their functions thus creating an image of their lives.

The first inscription tells us that Marcus Ulpius Romanus died at the age of 35 while still serving as a *primoscrinius* of the Praetorian Guard's Prefects⁵ during the reign of Septimius Severus⁶. The argument that I propose in dating his death during the time of Septimius Severus is based on the fact that the two epigraphic materials have a crucial difference. Although both of them specifically mention the epithets of the I *Adiutrix* legion, *pia* and *fidelis*, only the second one adds the appellative *Antoniniana*. Thus, we must date second inscription during the period 212–217 AD while the first inscription during a *terminus ante quem*. It would have been quite bizarre for a man like Celerinus, an already experienced man vis-à-vis the duties of the military, to overlook this important element of showing his loyalty towards the emperor Caracalla while remembering to mention the rather less important attributes (in this context), the epithets of the legion⁷.

Another aspect regarding the dating of the first inscription is important: Septimius Severus, right after the march on Rome and the death of Didius Julianus, discharged the Praetorian Guard and replaced them with soldiers of the Danube region⁸. Most likely Romanus was among them or joined their ranks later on in Septimius' reign. We can now confirm that Romanus, who was a member of the Praetorian Guard and served in it as a *primoscrinius*⁹, died of unknown causes during the reign of the same emperor who initially appointed him to this position, namely Septimius Severus¹⁰. When his son died at the age of 35, it is easy to believe that Celerinus was already in his 50's. It would be absurd to consider an early period in Severus' reign as that of his son's death due to the fact that Celerinus was still a *salararius* during Caracalla's reign. However, what does the status of a *salararius* in a legion imply and what was its role?

The *salararius* was considered, until now, to be a person sent in the name of the State responsible for supplying a military unit¹¹. Some other scholars considered him to be a civilian specialized for a specific task¹². M. P. Speidel followed by J. Napoli suggest that the *salararius* was a mercenary used for military purpose¹³ and C. Méa considers him a soldier joining the

⁵ About the *primoscrinius* see DOMASZEWSKI 1967, x.

⁶ See *infra* note 8.

⁷ About the legion and its epithets *pia fidelis* see: LÖRINCZ 2000, 154.

⁸ BIRLEY 2002, 102–103 in the biography of Septimius Severus details the entire episode of the discharge of the old Praetorians and replacement beginning of June 193 AD with legionaries and officers of the Danube legions. For the ancient sources see: HA Sev. 6.5 and in a slightly more detailed manner: DIO 74.1.1–2; HERODIAN 2.13.1–12.

⁹ A sort of a archivist of the Praetorian Guard and Prefect, see: O. Seeck, in RE II A col. 2054, s.v. *scrinium*.

¹⁰ AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995, 29 dates the attestations of Celerinus in the “early third century” (but make the reference to the dating of the attestation of Celerinus from the altar dedicated in the time of Caracalla), also NAGY 1976, 81, n. 17 and STOIAN 2007, 169 suggests that the dating should be considered after 212 AD due to the dedication to Jupiter. Also all other scholars use the same argument, e.g. BARKÓCZI 1944–45, 186, IDRE ii no. 272–273 and MÉA 2012, 208, no. 7: “1ère moitié du III^{ème} siècle p.Ch. Cf. l’inscription précédente dont la datation est 211–222 p.Ch” (*nota bene* the previous inscription being the dedication to Jupiter). MAIRS 2012, 23–24, no. 2 dates it “late second-early third century AD” without expressing her arguments for this particular time frame.

¹¹ LE BOHEC 1989, 53 and 68 and ROTH 1999, 274 argues that the *frumentarii* were replaced by the *salararii* “after the time of Septimius Severus, these seem to have been replaced by a single officer, called the *salararius*”. In my opinion we do not possess strong evidence to conclude that they were replaced (not to mention the period after Septimius Sever) because in the case of this argument it is mentioned only that Claudius Iustianus was a *centurio ordinarius, salariorum XII* who lived for 38 years.

¹² NAGY 1976, 79–91; BALTY 1993, 53; WECH-KLEIN 1998, 43–44.

¹³ SPEIDEL 1987, 195–201 and NAPOLI 2010, 68–77.

army not in the common way of recruitment but rather by a contract which specifically noted the retribution but also the attribution of tasks¹⁴. This specific task could be anything including supplying the army in some cases. In my opinion this last hypothesis is the most likely to be the truth and I am tempted to follow it. Bearing this premise in mind we can infer that Celerinus was a man who was contracted by the I Adiutrix legion to help due to his experience to do some specific tasks. He continued to do so until the reign of Caracalla and afterwards he is never mentioned ever again.



Fig. 2. Dedication made by *Marcus Ulpius Celerinus* to *Iupiter* (photo/drawing after RIU 2, 422). Date: 212–217 AD.

But to be a *salariarius* and an *interprex* are two different things as our first inscription tells us. While being a *salariarius* (again probably joining the ranks of the legion but doing specific tasks and having a special status among the other soldiers while being paid with “an annual sum which embraced also his expenses”¹⁵), at the point when his son died in the last part of Severus’ reign, Celerinus was for a temporary period of time also hired as an interpreter/translator of the Dacian language¹⁶. As Daniel Peretz points out during the period of the Principate, the military interpreters were “from foreign origin and new Roman citizens” and [their] “service only temporary”¹⁷. Judging by his name, Marcus Ulpius Celerinus originated in a family which

¹⁴ MÉA 2012, 207–213, especially 212–213 for his detailed opinion.

¹⁵ PERETZ 2006, 453.

¹⁶ General remarks on the term: *interprex* s.v. F. J. KÜCHNER, in ThLL VII, 1, (1964) 2250–2253; *Dolmetscher* s.v. A. HERMANN/W. von SODEN, in RAC IV (1959), 24–49 especially pages 35–40 for the Roman interpreter and also ROCHETTE 2000, 83–93. For interpreters in the Greek period see: *presbeia* s.v., in RE suppl. XIII (1973), 562–563; RUSSELL 1999, 55 n. 207, 64, 171–174. A more thorough examination of what the role of the interpreter was during the Roman Empire: AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995, 28–29; CAMPBELL 2001, 14 (mentions them briefly); PERETZ 2006, 451–470; STOIAN 2007, 165–169, MAIRS 2012, 17–28. For interpreters at Rome see ROCHETTE 1996, 75–89.

¹⁷ PERETZ 2006, 452. This aspect of temporary assignment is obvious in the second inscription where Celerinus is only a *salariarius*.

gained Roman citizenship during the time of Trajan, approximately three generations before him or was given to one of his ancestors by a Marcus Ulpius after being freed. Implying that he was a man of Dacian origin because of his knowledge of their language is plausible but he could have learned it in numerous other ways. Whatever the case, there is still an unanswered question. Why was he needed as an *interpres* during this relative peaceful period of time on the Danubian frontier and what was his mission?

Interpreters¹⁸ are attested epigraphically only five times in the Roman army except the case of Celerinus. All the other *interpretes* are soldiers and officers serving in the legionary forces spread around the Danubian frontier. One of them is M. Aurelius Flavius “*interpres* (sic!) *Germanorum officii consularis*” and also a *duplarius* in the Second Adriatrix legion stationed in Aquincum¹⁹. The other one from the area nearby, namely from *Transaquincum* is Caius Castricius Victor, an “*interpres* (sic!) *S(armatarum?) ex officio consularis*” coming from the same legion²⁰. Both of them date in the II–IIIrd c. AD²¹. Q. Atilius Primus (*interpres legionis XV*) is another *interpres* of the Quadi, dated at the end of the I c. AD from a funerary inscription found downstream of *Carnuntum* at Baldog (modern day Slovakia)²². To these inscriptions from Pannonia Inferior and Superior one more must be added to our catalogue which comes from *Viminacium* and talks about two veterans, C. Valerius Valens and M. Ulpius Martialis who were discharged members of the VIIth Claudia legion stationed in the fort near the capital of *Moesia Superior*²³. We are able to distinguish the fact that two of the interpreters were subordinates of the governor of *Pannonia Inferior* (the ones from *Aquincum*)²⁴, one was a subordinate of the commander of the XV *Apollinaris* legion (the example of *Carnuntum*) and two of them do not specify for whom they offered their services for. There are also two more indications on people whose activity was to be an interpreter in the sense of “go-between”/intermediary (but on a rather more lower, unofficial level) who were also soldiers or people related to the army recently identified by R. Mairs on the Northern frontier. The first inscription from a *Vindolanda* tablet dated in the “Period 2”, about 92–97 AD and belongs to the correspondence of an officer stationed in the above mentioned auxiliary fort around this period of time (probably even longer), named Cassius Saecularis (who is not mentioned anywhere else in the *Vindolanda*

¹⁸ For the literature about the interpreters as translators in administration see: MAIRS 2011. For the interpreters in Roman Egypt see: MAIRS 2012a.

¹⁹ CIL III 10505; NAGY 1976, 82; AUSTIN/RANKOV 2005, 28; PERETZ 2006, 453; MAIRS 2012, 23–24, no. 2, text of funerary inscription: *D(is) M(anibus) / M(arco) Aur(elio) Flavo m[il]l(iti) leg(ionis)? II Ad(iutricis), du]pl(icario) / et in[t]erpreti Ge[r]manoru]m officii consularis et M. Aur[el]io ... an(norum)] XIII / filio communi Aurelia Qu[il]aeta (?) marito et filio dulcissimis scribi in memoriam iussit.*

²⁰ CIL III 14349, 5; AUSTIN/RANKOV 2005, 28; PERETZ 2006, 458 n. 38; MAIRS 2012, text of funerary inscription: *[?Iul.] Gaius mil(es) leg(ionis) [?II Ad(iutricis)] / interpres S(armatarum) e[st] o[ff]ficio co(n)sularis.* NAGY 1976, 82 rejects the old reading of the inscription *interpres S(armatarum)* and suggests: *[?Iul.] Gaius mil(es) leg(ionis) [?II Ad(iutricis) interpres i[n] o[ff]ficio co(n)sularis).*

²¹ AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995, 28.

²² KOLNIK 1978, 61–75 (= Année Épigr. 1978, 635), Flavian period for the dating 70–71. Also see: Année Épigr. 1988, 938; AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995, 28; MOSSER 2003, No. 13, 171; PERETZ 2006, 460; MAIRS 2012, 23, no. 1. Text: *Q(uintus) Atilius / Sp(uri) f(ilius) Vot(uria) Pri(mus) inter(p)rex / leg(ionis) XV idem (centurio) / negotiator an(norum) / LXXX h(ic) s(itus) e(st) / Q(uintus) Atilius Coactus Atilia Q(uinti) l(iberta) Faulsta Privatus et / Martialis hered(es) / l(iberti?) p(osuerunt).*

²³ CIL III 14507; IMS 53; AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995, 29; Année Épigr. 2004, 1223; PERETZ 2006, 458, n. 39; MAIRS 2012, 24, no. 5, partial text after MAIRS 2012, 24, no. 5: line 11: *C(aius) Val(erius) Valens int(er)pres R(atiaria)* and line 40: *M(arcus) Ulp(ius) Martial(is) int(er)pres Sc(upis).* Date according to MAIRS 2012, 24 after 195 AD.

²⁴ PERETZ 2006 452–454 and MAIRS 2011, 65–66 give us an insight of the interpreters from the literary classical sources but also their usage by Marcus Tullius Cicero and of Verres, both of them governors of a province, *Cilicia* respectively *Sicilia* in the Late Republic.

tablets). He seems to have been, most likely, an *optio* as the publishers of the tablet suggest from which Curtius Rufus via other persons demanded a quantity of barley²⁵. Another example is the case of Quadratus, an agent whose role is to facilitate and mediate between two parties who did not speak the same language²⁶. Furthermore in *Germania Inferior* there is another *interpretex*, called C. Ianuar(i)us Sextus, who does not mention if he came from a military or civilian background²⁷.




Fig. 3. Map of the frontier in the Middle Danube region. The Danube Limes – UNESCO World Heritage/ Pen&Sword/CHC University of Salzburg, authors: David Breeze and Kurt Schaller. The maps are made available via CC license by courtesy.

Analyzing the role of other interpreters we can now establish an image of the role of Marcus Ulpius Celerinus. It seems that Marcus Ulpius Celerinus offered his services to the Ist Adiutrix legion signing in as a *salararius*, contracted by the army to do a specific task during the early third century AD regarding supplies most probably. Meanwhile, sometime in the last part of Septimius Severus' reign (in the 3rd c. AD), when Marcus Ulpius Romanus died at the

²⁵ MAIRS 2012.

²⁶ MAIRS 2012 with the text and BOWMAN/TOMLIN/WORP 2009, 161–162.

²⁷ From the rural settlement of Rummel, cf. CIL XIII 8773: *C(aius) Ianuar(i)us / Sextus / interpretex / vivos sibi / fecit*.

age of 35 as a member of the staff of the Praetorian Guard's Prefect, Celerinus' position, aside of being a *salariarius*, was also an interpreter of the Dacian language. This must mean that he accompanied a group of army agents responsible for negotiating with the Dacians in a peaceful period of time on the northern frontiers of the province of Dacia (in Severus' time we do not know of any military conflicts with the Dacian tribes)²⁸. When Caracalla started his campaign in 214 A.D. against these Barbarian tribes, the peaceful relations must have ceased to exist, reducing Celerinus' tasks to the ones attributed to the status of a *salariarius*, as we find out from the dedication of the altar to Jupiter. His case, in my opinion, is similar to the one of Q. Atilius Primus, from *Carnuntum*, interpreter, centurion of the XV *Apollinaris* legion in the time of the Flavian dynasty (and sometimes throughout his military career or later after his retirement) a *negotiator* responsible for translating the dialogues between the Quadi and the Romans in matters related to economic reasons²⁹.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary sources

HA

Historia Augusta, Ed. E.Hohl (Leipzig 1927; repr. 1955).

IDRE

C. C. PETOLESCU, *Inscriptiones Daciae Romanae. Inscriptiones extra finae Daciae repertae Graecae et Latinae (saec. I.II.III)/Inscriptions de la Dacie romaine. Inscriptions externes concernant l'histoire de la Dacie (I^e-III^e siècles)* (Bucarest 1996, 2000).

IMS

M. MIRKOVIČ, *Inscriptions de la Mésie Supérieure. Vol II: Viminacium et Margum* (Belgrade 1986).

IPD⁴

ARPADUS DOBÓ, *Inscriptiones extra fines Pannoniae Daciaeque repertae ad res earundem provinciarum pertinentes*⁴ (Budapest/Amsterdam 1975).

RIU

L. BARKÓCZI/A. MÓCSY, *Die römische Inschriften Ungarns (RIU): Lfg. Salla, Mogentiana, Mursella, Brigetio* (Budapest 1976).

*

AUSTIN/RANKOV 1995

N. J. E. AUSTIN/ N. B. RANKOV, *Exploratio. Military and Political Intelligence in the Second Punic War to the Battle of Adrianople* (London/New York 1995).

BALTY 1993

J.-CH. BALTY, *Apamée de Syrie. Quartiers d'hiver de la II^e Légion Parthique, monuments funéraires de la nécropole militaire* (Bruxelles 1993).

BARKÓCZI 1944–45

L. BARKÓCZI, *Dák tolmács Brigetioban. Arch. Ért. 5–6, 1944–1945, 178–192.*

BARKÓCZI 1951

L. BARKÓCZI, *Brigetio. Diss. Pannonicae II/22* (Budapest 1944–1951).

BIRLEY 2002

A. BIRLEY, *Septimius Severus. The African Emperor* (London/New York 2002).

²⁸ Looking at the geographical area and the positioning of the Roman forces on the Danube and in *Dacia* we might infer the fact that these Dacian tribes (some of them) were positioned in an area of the on the North of the Danube which entered in the control area of the I *Adiutrix* legion.

²⁹ Supra note 22.

- BOWMAN/TOMLIN/WORP 2009
A. K. BOWMAN/R. S. O. TOMLIN/K. A. WORP, *Emptio Bovis Frisica: the 'Frisian Ox Sale' Reconsidered*. *Journal Roman Stud.* 99, 2009, 156–170.
- CAMPBELL 2001
B. CAMPBELL, *Diplomacy in the Roman World (c. 500 BC – AD 235)*. *Diplomacy and Statecraft* 12/1, 2001, 1–22.
- DOMASZEWSKI 1967
A. von DOMASZEWSKI, *Die Rangordnung des römischen Heeres (Köln/Graz 1967)*.
- ERDÉLYI 1974
G. ERDÉLYI, *A római kőfaragás és kőszobrászat Magyarországon (Budapest 1974)*.
- FITZ 1983
J. FITZ, *Honorific Titles of Roman Military Units in the 3rd Century (Budapest/Bonn 1983)*.
- KOCH/SICHTERMANN 1982
G. KOCH/ H. SICHTERMANN, *Römische Sarkophage (München 1982)*.
- KOLNIK 1978
T. KOLNIK, 'Q. Atilius Primus-Interprex Centurio und Negotiator'. *Acta Arch. Acad. Scien. Hungaricae* 30, 1978, 61–75.
- KRANZ 1984
P. KRANZ, *Die Antiken Sarkophagreliefs V. 4. Jahreszeitensarkophage: Entwicklung und Ikonographie des Matris des vier Jahreszeiten auf kaiserzeitliche Sarkophagen und Sarkophagdeckeln (Berlin 1984)*.
- LE BOHEC 1989
Y. LE BOHEC, *L'armée romaine sous le Haut Empire (Paris 1989)*.
- LÖRINCZ 2000
B. LÖRINCZ, *Legio I Adiutrix*, in *Les legions de Rome sous le Haut-Empire 1*. Ed. Y. Le Bohec (Lyon 2000), 150–158.
- MAIRS 2011
R. MAIRS, *Translator, Traditor: The Interpreter as Traitor in Classical Tradition*. *Greece&Rome* 58/1, 2011, 64–81.
- MAIRS 2012a
R. MAIRS, 'Interpreting' at Vindolanda: Commercial and Linguistic Mediation in the Roman Army. *Britannia* 43, 2012, 17–28.
- MAIRS 2012b
R. MAIRS, *Interpreters and Translators in Hellenistic and Roman Egypt*. In: *Actes du 26^e Congrès Internat. de Papyrologie, Genève 2010 (Genève 2012) [forthcoming]*.
- MÉA 2012
C. MÉA, *Le salariarius: un contractuel de l'armée romaine*. *Zeitschr. Papyr. u. Epigr.* 181, 2012, 207–213.
- MÓCSY 1974
A. MÓCSY, *Pannónia and Upper Moesia (London/Boston 1974)*.
- MOSSER 2003
M. MOSER, *Die Steindenkmäler der legio XV Apollinaris*. *Wiener Arch. Stud.* 5 (Vienna 2003).
- NAGY 1976
T. NAGY, *Salariarius legionis-salariarius coloniae*. *Acta Arch. Acad. Scien. Hungaricae* 28, 1976, 79–91.
- NAGY M. 2007
M. NAGY, *Lapidarium (Budapest 2007)*.
- NAPOLI 2010
J. NAPOLI, *Rome et le recrutement de mercenaires*. *Rev. Hist. Armées* 260, 2010, 68–77.
- NÉMETH 1996
M. NÉMETH, *Ein verschollenes Relief aus Aquincum*. In: *Akten des 3. Internat. Koll. über Probleme des provinzialrömischen Kunstschaffens*. *BJ-Bh* 51 (1996), 67–76.

- NOLL 1962
R. NOLL, Griechische und lateinische Inschriften der Wiener Antikensammlung (Wien 1962).
- PERETZ 2006
D. PERETZ, The Roman Interpreter and His Diplomatic and Military Roles. *Historia* 55/ H. 4, 2006, 451–470.
- POCHMARSKI 1998
E. POCHMARSKI, Graberoten auf pannonischen Sarkophagen. In: Akten des Symposiums „125 Jahre Sarkophag-Corpus“, Marburg, 4.–7. 10. 1995. *Sarkophag-Studien* 1 (Mainz 1998), 182–200.
- POCHMARSKI 2001
E. POCHMARSKI, Zur Ikonographie und Chronologie der römischen Sarkophage aus Brigetio. *Budapest Régiségei* 34, 2001, 201–221.
- ROCHETTE 1996
B. ROCHETTE, Fidi interpretes. La traduction orale à Rome. *Ancient Soc.* 27, 1996, 75–89.
- ROCHETTE 2000
B. ROCHETTE, À propos du nom de l'interprète en latin. *Glotta* 76, 2000, 83–93.
- ROTH 1999
J. ROTH, *The Logistics of the Roman Army at War (264 BC–AD 235)* (Leiden/Boston/Köln 1999).
- RUSSELL 1999
F. S. RUSSELL, *Information Gathering in Classical Greece* (Ann Arbor 1999).
- RUSSU 1946–1947
I. I. RUSSU, *Miscelanea dacica*. *Anu. Inst. Istor. Cluj* 11, 1946–1947/47, 403–412.
- RUSSU 1980
I. I. RUSSU, *Daco-geții in Imperiul Roman* (București 1980).
- SPEIDEL 1987
M. P. SPEIDEL, The Rise of the Mercenaries in the Third Century. *Tyche* 2, 1987, 191–201.
- SPEIDEL 1992
M. P. SPEIDEL, *Roman Army Studies II* (Stuttgart 1992).
- STOIAN 2007
G. STOIAN, *Interpretes în lumea romană*. *Stud. și Cerc. Istor. Veche* 58/1–2, 2007, 165–169.
- VISY 2008
Z. VISY, *The Roman limes in Hungary* (Pécs 2008).
- WELSCH-KLEIN 1998
G. WELSCH-KLEIN 1998, *Soziale Aspekte des römischen Heerwesens in der Kaiserzeit* (Stuttgart 1998).

